

# Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards - Case Law Summary 2016-18



Dec 2018 edition Download from [www.edgetraining.org.uk](http://www.edgetraining.org.uk) \* news/cases added since last edition

EW COP = Court of Protection EWCA = Court of Appeal UKSC = Supreme Court ECHR = European Court of Human Rights

**The Mental Capacity (Amendment) Bill [HL] is currently in the House of Commons. The Bill will replace DoLS with the Liberty Protection Safeguards. Resources and a detailed training course are available at: [www.edgetraining.org.uk](http://www.edgetraining.org.uk)**

Issue	Case	Summary
Is DoLS ECHR compliant?	R.B. v United Kingdom [2017] no. 6406/15	The European Court of Human Rights confirms the DoLS process and safeguards means it meets the requirements of the ECHR.
What is a deprivation of liberty?	P v Cheshire West & Chester Council, P & Q v Surrey CC [2014] UKSC 19	Supreme Court: <i>'...the acid test is whether a person is under the complete supervision and control of those caring for her and is not free to leave the place where she lives...'</i>
Medication: covert and to manage behaviour	AG v BMBC & SNH [2016] EW COP 37	Use of covert medication to manage behaviour for a woman with dementia in a care home. The BIA should record this as a restriction and consider the need for conditions, reviews and shorter duration. Note: BHCC v KD [2016] EW COP B2 confirms this approach.
Importance of Guzzardi	NRA & Ors [2015] EW COP 59	<i>'It is well established that the approach to the existence of a deprivation of liberty is governed by the Guzzardi principle.'</i> = restrictions assessed - type, duration, effect, manner, degree/intensity
Unescorted leave	Stankov v Bulgaria [2015] ECHR No. 25820/07	Unescorted leave (permission required, time limited and action taken if a person does not return) can still lead to a deprivation of liberty.
Article 8: private & family life	Steven Neary v Hillingdon Council [2011] EWHC 1377	DoLS cannot be used to remove a person from their family or prevent a person returning to their family (ie. for safeguarding reasons).
Authorising signatory	Steven Neary v Hillingdon Council [2011] EWHC 1377	<i>'The responsibilities of a supervisory body, .., require it to scrutinise the assessment it receives with independence and a degree of care that is appropriate to the seriousness of the decision'</i> . See also: P v Surrey County Council & Anor [2015] EW COP 54
Available options	N v ACCG and others [2017] UKSC 22	<i>'... just like P, the court can only choose between the "available options".'</i> Example: DM v Y City Council [2017] EW COP 13
Harm to others rather than self	P v A local authority [2015] COP No: 12715633	Court stops DoLS because it was being used to prevent harm to others.
DoLS appeals (Section 21A applications)	Director of Legal Aid Casework & Ors v Briggs [2017] EWCA Civ 1169	Disputes about treatment or other personal welfare issues where the deprivation of liberty is <b>not</b> the essential issue are not DoLS appeals but instead a person welfare application to the Court of Protection. Disputes about where a person should live or limiting contact with others should be taken as a DoLS appeal. See form COPDLA
Short Term Restrictions	Kasparov v Russia [2016] ECHR 849	<i>'Article 5 § 1 of the Convention may apply even to deprivations of liberty of a very short length..'</i> See also: [2013] EWCA Civ 69
Mental capacity assessment	LBX v K, L & M [2013] EWHC 3230 (Fam)	Importance of assessors focussing on the 'salient factors' when assessing mental capacity for residence rather than a judgement based on mental disorder and importance of using practical steps. See also: Re KK: CC v KK [2012] EWHC 2136 (COP) and Derbyshire CC v AC, EC & LC [2014] EW COP 38
Choice of Representative	AJ v A Local Authority [2015] EW COP 5	<i>'... it is likely to be difficult for a close relative or friend who believes that it is in P's best interests to move into residential care, and has been actively involved in arranging such a move, into a placement that involves a deprivation of liberty, to fulfil the functions of RPR,..'</i>
Representatives (and IMCAs) duties	RD, JW, EP, JB & JP v local authorities (x4) [2016] EW COP 49	Guidance for Representatives and IMCAs on their duties; how they should interpret P's objections and when to appeal (to CoP) on their behalf.

<b>Conditions</b>	Re W [2016] EWCOP 58	'..there is a duty on the supervisory body, .. to monitor compliance with conditions.' Also: AG v BMC & SNH [2016] EWCOP 37 – a condition should be included in a DoLS if covert medication is prescribed.
<b>Objecting + care home + Clozapine</b>	BHCC v KD [2016] EWCOP B2	80 year old woman with schizophrenia in a care home + she is objecting + question of whether DoLS can be used to detain her + MCA used to give Clozapine + potential use of covert medication. Yes, to all.
<b>Life-saving treatment (ICU) and DoLS</b>	Re: Ferreira v HM Senior Coroner for Inner South London [2017] EWCA Civ 31	Three weeks in ICU for woman with a learning disability was not a deprivation of liberty but rather a restriction of movement: ' <i>In my judgment, any deprivation of liberty resulting from the administration of life-saving treatment to a person falls within this category.</i> ' See also Court of Appeal: [2017] EWCA Civ 1169
<b>Children and deprivation of liberty</b>	Re: A-F (Children) (No 2) [2018] EWHC 2129 (Fam)  In the matter of D (a child) [2017] EWCA Civ 1695	Creates draft forms to be used for deprivation of liberty applications in the Family Courts (inherent jurisdiction) and considers when cases should be transferred to the Court of Protection (post age 16). Also: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Re: A-F [2018] EWHC 138 (Fam)</li> <li>▪ A local authority v D, E &amp; C [2016] EWHC 3473 (Fam)</li> </ul> Parental consent may (in certain cases) be used to 'authorise' the care and control for under 18s so there is no Article 5 deprivation of liberty. * Supreme Court appeal hearing for this case on 3-4 October 2018
<b>Community deprivation of liberty</b>	SCC v MSA, JA and SCCG [2017] EWCOP 18  Re: X [2014] EWCOP 25	A <i>domestic</i> DoL with family as carers. Man with learning disability in family home cared for by his mother. Care involves physical restraint and use of a padded room in the home. No external carers.  Procedure for Court of Protection authorised deprivation of liberty + NRA & Ors [2015] EWCOP 59 + JM and others [2016] EWCOP 15
<b>Imputable to the State</b>	Staffordshire CC v SRK, RK and Ors [2016] EWCOP 27	Note: this case also refers to 'assistive technology' as a restriction (monitoring). See also: LB Haringey v R, P, F & A [2016] EWCOP 33
<b>Mental Health Act or DoLS</b>	AM v SLaM & Sec State for Health [2013] UKUT 0365	The procedure for admitting a person to a mental health ward and the decision about whether to use MHA 1983 or DoLS.
<b>Leave of absence (MHA) and DoLS</b>	A Hospital NHS Trust v CD & a Mental Health Foundation Trust [2015] EWCOP 74	Woman with schizophrenia under s3 MHA & needs total hysterectomy due to very large ovarian growth. Judge says she can be on s17 leave to general hospital & then DoLS used to detain her for physical treatment.
<b>Guardianship and DoLS</b>	GW v Gloucestershire CC [2016] UKUT 499 (AAC)	Guardianship does not authorise deprivation liberty. A care plan under guardianship could be a deprivation of liberty and would need DoLS or court order as well. See also: [2016] EWCOP 47 + [2015] UKUT 0125
<b>Community Treatment Orders (CTO)</b>	* Welsh Ministers v PJ [2018] UKSC 66	Lady Hale (President): ' <i>I conclude, therefore, that the MHA does not give the RC power to impose conditions which have the concrete effect of depriving a community patient of his liberty...</i> ' A CTO cannot authorise a deprivation of liberty.
<b>Conditional Discharge and DoLS</b>	* Secretary of State for Justice v MM [2018] UKSC 60  *AB (Inherent Jurisdiction: Deprivation of Liberty) [2018] EWHC 3103	Neither the MH Tribunal nor the Secretary of State can order a conditional discharge if the conditions mean the person would be deprived of their liberty. If a person lacks mental capacity, DoLS or a court order may authorise the deprivation of liberty.  Use of the inherent jurisdiction to authorise the deprivation of liberty of a capacitated adult subject to a conditional discharge.
<b>Too young for DoLS and not meeting the MHA criteria?</b>	* Buckinghamshire County Council v RT [2018] EWCOP 12	A 17 year old boy with learning disability admitted to mental health ward but not deemed to meet criteria for MHA. He lacks capacity and being on ward is a DoL but not old enough for DoLS. Urgent application to Court of Protection and judgment the same day to authorise the DoL.